REMARKS

In the office action mailed July 11, 2005, Claims 1, 4, 6-20, 22-32, 34 and 35 are presently pending. Claim 16 is objected to due to alleged informalities. Claims 20 and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being allegedly anticipated by Pederson (U.S. Patent No. 6,700,502). Claims 1 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Pederson (U.S. Patent No. 6,700,502). In addition, Claims 4, 7-19, and 22-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Finally, Claims 32, 34-35 are allowed.

III. CLAIM OBJECTIONS

10

15

20

25

A. Claim 16

Claim 16 is objected to due to alleged informalities. Specifically, the Office Action states:

Applicant recites, "The reflector includes optical elements". This limitation is not found inn the specification. The specification recites the projector including optical elements as those listen [sic] in claim 16. Appropriate correction is required.

July 11, 2005 Office Action at p. 2

Applicants respectively traverse. Applicants contend that the Specification adequately supports Claim 16. For example, Applicants' Specification reads in part:

FIG. 6A illustrates a front view of another embodiment of a light device lens 600. The light device 600 includes wedges, flutes, or other optical elements 601 on a surface of the light lens. Other optical elements may include Fresnel rings, grooves, or any combination of reflective devices. The optical elements 601 may be formed with spherical, cylindrical, or concentric circles as well.

Applicants' Specification at p. 15 lines 3-7. Applicants respective request that this objection be withdrawn.

McDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606 TELEPHONE (312)913-0001

B. Claims 4, 7-19, and 22-30

Claims 4, 7-19, and 22-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Finally, Claim 31 is allowed.

Applicants have rewritten the rejected Independent Claims 1, and 20 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As such, Applicants have cancelled without prejudice claims 4, 6, and 22. Consequently, it is respectively submitted that Applicants have overcome each of the Examiner's rejections. It is submitted, therefore, that all currently pending claims are in condition for allowance and early notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

If there are any additional matters which may be resolved through a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Applicant's undersigned representative.

15	•	Respectfully Submitted,			
•		10/28/05		THE	
	Date: _	10/00/03	By:		
		•		Thomas E. Wettermann	
20				Reg. No. 41,523	

10